ton (1965). To decide between the disordered and the centered-hydrogen models for the structure of RDP, Hamilton's significance test was applied as follows: number of reflections $=129$, number of variables $=25$ (disordered model), number of variables $=22$ (centered model); hypothesis: 'the hydrogen is centered on the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ bond'.

The dimension of the hypothesis is $25-22=3$ and the number of degrees of freedom for the refinement is $129-25$ $=104$. The value of $R$ obtained by interpolating the appropriate table for testing the $R$-factor ratio (Hamilton, 1965 ) is $. R_{3,104.0 .005}=1.07$, while the observed $R$-factor ratio is

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\mathrm{obs}}=\frac{R_{w}(\text { centered })}{R_{w}(\text { disordered })}=\frac{0.0498}{0.0457}=1.09
$$

This indicates that the above hypothesis can be rejected in favor of the disordered hydrogen model at a significance level of better than $0.5 \%$ (or at a confidence interval of higher than $99.5 \%$ ). In other words, the results of our experiment favor the disordered-hydrogen model for the structure of paraelectric $\mathrm{RbH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in which the proton is displaced on either side of the bond center at positions which are $0.41 \AA$ apart.

This work was carried out while one of the authors (AS) was visiting NRI, Baghdad under the Indo-Iraqi Agreement. We are grateful to Serop Ohannesian for assistance in part of the computation.
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A new table of torsion angles for the title compound [Jiménez-Garay, López-Castro \& Márquez, Acla Cryst. (1976), B32, $2115-2118$ | is given.

In Fig. 1 of the paper on the title compound (Jimenez-Garay, López-Castro \& Márquez, 1976) atoms C(10) and C(11) are interchanged with respect to their atomic parameters (Table 1); therefore, the selected torsion angles have been recalculated and are reported in the new Table 4.

## Reference

Jiménez-Garay, R., López-Castro, A. \& Márquez, R. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 2115-2118.

Table 4. Selected torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-176 \cdot 6$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $111 \cdot 8$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-175 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 179.3 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-116 \cdot 8$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-1 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 22.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-36 \cdot 3$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $38 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 61.2 |


| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-115 \cdot 8$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 163.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -22.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -119.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -170.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-177 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -62.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -176.6 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -118.3 |

